

Method and associated device for generating random
numbers in a given range

5 This disclosure is based upon French Application
No. 0312435 filed October 24, 2003 and International
Application No. PCT/FR2004/050510, filed October 18,
2004, the contents of which are incorporated herein by
reference.

10 **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

The invention concerns a method of obtaining a
random number between A and B from a generator
producing random numbers lying between 0 and W-1, with
N the size of the numbers produced by the generator, W-
15 1 the maximum value taken by the random numbers
produced, with for example $W = 2^N$ and A, B any integer
numbers, less than or greater than the number W.

Such a situation occurs for example in an
electronic component adapted to perform cryptographic
20 calculations and comprising an N-bit random number
generator, for example $N = 8$. The random numbers that
it can produce are thus between 0 and $W-1 = 255$, whilst

it would be desirable to have random numbers between
for example 0 and 100 or between 300 and 10000. It
should be noted that it suffices to determine numbers
between 0 and 9700 and then to add 300 to the number
5 obtained in order finally to obtain a number between
300 and 10000.

Such a situation is found in practice in the
majority of cryptographic applications, for example the
DSA signature, the El Gamal signature or enciphering,
10 the development of countermeasures against various
attacks, etc.

Several methods are already known for producing
random numbers R between 0 and K from numbers between 0
and W-1. These methods are in general implemented by
15 software means used to control on the one hand a
hardware generator that produces random numbers of size
N and on the other hand calculation means performing in
particular multiplication, addition, etc operations.

A first known method comprises the following
20 steps:

a) determining the smallest integer number p such
that $K \leq WP - 1$,

b) producing p random numbers S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{p-1} and
forming the variable $S = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} S_i * W^i$

25 c) if $S > K$, then returning to step b), otherwise
putting $R = S$

R is the random number sought, between 0 and K.
The equation $S = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} S_i * W^i$ is a representation of the

variable S decomposed/recomposed in base $(W^{p-1}, \dots, W^1, W^0)$. It would also be possible to note $S = S_{p-1}S_{p-2}\dots S_1S_0$, a notation commonly used.

5 A second known method comprises the following steps:

a) determining the smallest integer number p such that $K \leq WP - 1$,

b) producing p random numbers S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{p-1} and forming the variable $T = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} S_i * W^i$ and $S = T + S_{p-1} * W^{p-1}$

10 c) if $S > K$, putting $R = T$ otherwise putting $R = S$

A third known method comprises the following steps:

15 a) determining the smallest integer p such that $K \leq WP - 1$,

b) producing p random numbers S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{p-1} and forming the variable $S = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} S_i * W^i$

20 c) putting $R = S \bmod (K+1)$, that is to say the remainder of the whole-number division of S by $K+1$, also referred to as modular reduction of S by $K+1$.

These three methods can be summarised by the following steps:

a) producing p random numbers S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{p-1} , being the smallest integer number such that $K \leq WP - 1$

25 and forming the variable $S = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} S_i * W^i$

b) determining the random number R from the variable S .

According to circumstances, during step b, R is obtained from S by repeating step b (first method), taking account or not of the additional random number S_{p-1} (second method) or performing a modular reduction
5 (third method).

It should be noted that, in the three methods, if a number between A and $K+A$ is required, it suffices to add A to the number R obtained lying between 0 and K .

The main drawback of the first method is a
10 particularly long and especially unpredictable calculation time: the step of producing the p random numbers may be repeated numerous times without it being possible to predict at the start the number of repetitions of this step.

15 The second and third methods have the main drawback of producing random numbers exhibiting a bias: amongst the numbers R produced in the range $[0, K]$, certain values are more probable than others. In other words, the numbers R produced are not perfectly random
20 (non-uniform distribution). This bias may have significant consequences on the security of the cryptographic systems liable to implement these methods. The security of cryptographic systems assumes in fact that the random numbers that they use are uniformly
25 distributed (or at least close to a uniform distribution) in the range $[0, K]$ or $[A, K+A]$ wished for.

Finally, the three methods are slow overall because they implement operations on large numbers, of size N (in the sense of the number of bits) greater than
30 the size of the circuits used for the implementation.

This is because the number K in particular is any number and can be greater than W and therefore of size greater than N . The variable S can also be of large size. However, the implementation of operations on large numbers requires the implementation of complex methods expensive in terms of calculation time.

DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

An essential object of the invention is to propose a method of constructing a random number R that is particularly rapid.

Thus the invention proposes a cryptographic method during which use is made of a random number generator producing random numbers S_i of size N fixed between 0 and $W-1$, with for example but not necessarily $W = 2^N$, in order to produce a random number R between 0 and a predefined limiter K .

The essential steps of a method according to the invention are as follows:

E31: a random variable S_i between 0 and $W-1$ is produced,

E32: if the random variable S_i is strictly less than a coefficient K_i of the limiter K in base W , then the coefficient R_i of rank i of the random number R is equal to the random variable S_i and then, for any rank J less than i , a random variable S_j between 0 and $W-1$ is produced and $R_j = S_j$,

E33: otherwise, if the said random variable is greater than the coefficient K_i of rank i of the limiter K in base W , then the said coefficient R_i is determined

from the random variable S_i of rank i according to a predetermined function, and then the coefficient R_{i-1} is determined for the random number R of rank $i-1$ that is immediately lower by repeating steps E31 to E33.

5 Thus, in a method according to the invention, the coefficients R_i of the random number R required are sought one by one, commencing with the most significant coefficient R_{p-1} . The physical generator of random numbers used thus produces random variables S_i one by one,
10 one variable at each iteration.

 In addition, the method is rapid since step E33 is executed a small number of times. This is because, as soon as one of the variables S_i produced by the physical generator is less than the associated coefficient K_i of
15 the limiter K , the method no longer requires the processing of the variables S_j of rank less than i : thus a small number of coefficients of the number R , the most significant, are calculated the most often.

 Finally, compared with the known methods, a
20 method according to the invention has the advantage of working on numbers of no more than N bits, N being the size of the registers and other calculation circuits of the devices used for implementation. For example, if W is equal to S^N , the coefficients K_i resulting from the
25 decomposition of K in base $(W^{p-1}, \dots, W^1, W^0)$ are necessarily less than W and therefore with a size of no more than N bits. Likewise, the random variables S_i produced by the physical random number generator are also of N bits.

By adding to the essential steps an initialisation step and a step of recombination of the random number R, there are obtained:

5 E1: the limiter K is decomposed in base ($W^{p-1}, W^{p-2}, \dots, W^0$) ($K = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} K_i * W^i$ or $K = K^{p-2} \dots K^1 K^0$), i being a loop index, K_i being a coefficient of the limiter K of rank i between 0 and W-1 and p being the degree of the limiter K,

E2: a Boolean variable f is initialised to TRUE,
10 E3: the following operations are performed, in a loop indexed by i, i being an integer varying between p-1 and 0:

E31: a random variable S_i between 0 and W^0-1 is produced,

15 E32: if the random variable S_i is strictly less than the coefficient K_i of rank i, then the Boolean variable f is set to FALSE,

E33_1: if the random variable S_i is strictly greater than the coefficient K_i of rank i and the Boolean variable f is TRUE, then the coefficient R_i of rank i is determined from the random variable S_i of rank i according to a predefined function,
20

E33_2: otherwise $R_i = S_i$

E34: the loop indexed i is decremented,

25 E4: the random number R is determined by recombination of the random coefficients R_i in base

$$W (R = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} R_i * W^i \text{ or } R^{p-1} \dots R^1 R^0) .$$

In concrete terms, as soon as the Boolean variable f is positioned at FALSE, it remains at this value since provision is not made for repositioning it at the value TRUE, except when E2 of the method is initialised. Step E32 is executed only if the variable f is TRUE; thus, as soon as the variable f is positioned at the value FALSE, step E33_1 is no longer executed and the method according to the invention ends rapidly.

A second objective of the invention is to propose a method of constructing random numbers whose distribution is uniform or can be made as close as desired to a uniform distribution. This objective is achieved by choosing a suitable function for the determination of the coefficient R_i from the random variable S_i .

According to a first embodiment of the method according to the invention, in order to determine the coefficient R_i of rank i from the random variable S_i of rank i (step E33_1), the following substeps are performed:

E33_11: if the random variable S_i is strictly greater than the coefficient K_i of the limiter K , then a new random variable S_i is produced,

E33_12: step E33_11 is repeated until the random variable S_i is less than the coefficient K_i of the limiter K , and then the coefficient R_i is equalised to the random variable S_i .

In such an embodiment, all the coefficients R_i obtained are numbers directly produced by the hardware

random number generator; and these coefficients are therefore perfect and the number R which results therefrom is also perfect. In other words the distribution obtained of the numbers R is uniform in the range $[0, K]$.

According to a second embodiment, during step E33 the coefficient R_i of rank i is chosen so as to be equal to part of the random variable S_i , a part less than the coefficient K_i . The said part corresponding in one example to a limited number of bits of the variable S_i .

According to a third embodiment, during step E33 the random variable S_i is reduced modulo K_{i+1} , the results of the reduction being the coefficient R_i sought.

These latter two embodiments are rapid compared with the known methods, essentially because the work is done on small numbers. The distributions of random numbers obtained are however not uniform: the simple fact of truncating the variable S_i or performing a reduction modulo K_{i+1} necessarily introduces a bias. However, this bias is less compared with the methods of the prior art.

Moreover, it is possible to reduce the bias of the methods according to the second and third embodiments proposed, as will be seen below.

In a method according to the invention as described above, a random number R is constructed less than K from variables S_i of size N produced by a perfectly random physical generator. The number R

obtained is biased, but the bias is small compared with a known method.

For this, in the second or third embodiment, a coefficient $R_i \leq K_i$ is constructed, in particular during
 5 step E33_1, from variables S_i of size N . In order to reduce the bias introduced on the coefficient R_i , it is proposed to construct it using the same steps E1 to E3 as for constructing the number R . In a sense, two similar methods are "interleaved". This makes it
 10 possible to reduce further the size of the numbers on which the work is carried out, and consequently to reduce further the bias on the coefficient of R , and on the final number R .

In concrete terms, in order to determine the
 15 coefficient R_i of rank i from the random variable S_i of rank i (step E33_1), steps E1 to E4 are executed using a base $(\beta^{q-1}, \dots, \beta^0)$ as the calculation base, β being an integer number strictly less than W and q being the degree of K_i in base β .

20 Step E33 is thus broken down into the following substeps:

E33_41: the coefficient K_i of rank i of the
 limiter K in base $(\beta^{q-1}, \dots, \beta^0)$ ($K_i = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (K_i)_j * \beta^j$ or $K_i = (K_i)_{q-1} \dots (K_i)_1 (K_i)_0$), j being a loop index, $(K_i)_j$ being a
 25 number between 0 and $\beta-1$ and q being a degree of the coefficient K_i , is decomposed,

E33_42: a second Boolean variable g is initialised to TRUE,

E33_43: the following operations are performed,
in a loop indexed by j varying between $q-1$ and 0 :

E33_431: a random variable $(S_i)_j$ between 0
and $\beta-1$ is produced,

5 E33_432: if the random variable $(S_i)_j$ is
strictly less than the coefficient $(K_i)_j$, then the
second Boolean variable g is set to FALSE,

E33_4331: if the random variable $(S_i)_j$ is
strictly greater than the coefficient $(K_i)_j$ and the
10 second Boolean variable g is TRUE, then a
coefficient $(R_i)_j$ is determined from the random
variable $(S_i)_j$ according to a predefined function,

E33_4332: otherwise, $(R_i)_j = (S_i)_j$

E33_434: the loop indexed j is decremented,

15 E33_44: the random number R_i is determined by
recombination of the random coefficients $(R_i)_j$ in base β

$$(R_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (R_i)_j * \beta^j \quad \text{or} \quad R_i = (R_i)_{q-1} \dots (R_i)_1 (R_i)_0 .$$

As has just been seen above, by "interleaving"
two methods, the bias of the random numbers R produced
20 by the global method is reduced, whilst preserving a
rapid global method. It is of course possible to
imagine "interleaving" more than two methods, for
example three or four, by decomposing, in step E33_43,
the numbers in base $\gamma < \beta$, and decomposing step E33_43
25 in a succession of steps similar to steps E33_41 to
E33_43.

In general terms, the more methods are
"interleaved", the smaller the numbers on which the
work is carried out: the duration of each step

decreases and the bias of the numbers produced by the global method also decreases.

Another object of the invention is an electronic component adapted for implementing the method as
5 described above. Such a component comprises in particular a generator producing random numbers of size N , and calculation circuits for performing operations on numbers of no more than N bits.

According to the embodiment of the method to be
10 implemented, the calculation circuits are adapted to perform operations of comparing two numbers, number truncation and modular reduction.

The random number generator and the calculation circuits are preferably controlled by a software means
15 stored in a memory of the component provided for this purpose.

The invention also concerns a chip card comprising an electronic component as described above.